Equality Impact Assessment Form

Please ensure you have read the EIA Policy and Guidance document before completing this form. If you need assistance, please contact the EDU. Please return the completed form to the EDU.

STEP 1 – Define policy/practice

i. Name of policy/practice/significant change

Research Misconduct Policy

ii. Owner of policy/practice (College, School/Research Institute or Service)

Research Integrity Team, Research Services Directorate

iii. Date of policy/practice approved

08 June 2023

iv. Approved by? (Committee, College, School or Service)

Approved by Research Planning and Strategy Committee (RPSC)

STEP 2 – Description of policy/practice

i. What are the aims?

To set out the policy and procedures for investigating allegation of research misconduct received by the university

ii. Who does it cover?

All university employees, all visiting researchers including those with honorary/affiliate status, all matriculated PGR students, visiting PGR students and contractors engaged by the university.

iii. How often is this policy/practice reviewed?

The policy is based on national guidance and is subject to review whenever there are updates to national guidance and policy. The policy is also a living document and is reviewed when internal policies and guidance is updated.

STEP 3 – Could there be any implications for a protected characteristic group (as defined by the Equality Act 2010) in this (or the development of) policy/practice?

STEP 3a – Yes, there is a potential implication or barrier for a protected characteristic group.

Please tick all that are relevant

Protected Characteristics	Tick ✓	Notes
Age		
Disability (including BSL users)		
Gender Reassignment (including Gender Neutral Language)		
Marriage and Civil Partnership		
Pregnancy and Maternity		
Race		
Religion or Belief		
Sex		
Sexual Orientation		

If any of the above have been ticked - Go to Step 4

STEP 3b – No, there are no potential implication or barrier for a protected characteristic group.

Go to Step 8

STEP 4 – What evidence do you have for this conclusion (potential implication for a protector	ed
characteristic group)?	

Briefly explain:

STEP 4a – Does the evidence show	a positive impact?
----------------------------------	--------------------

Please provide an example and attach evidence:

Go to Step 5

STEP 4b – Does the evidence show a negative impact?	
You need to consult with relevant stakeholders – the EDU will assist with this process.	
Provide brief details and attach evidence:	
Go to Step 6	

STEP 4c – Does the evidence show NO impact?	
Attach evidence:	
Go to Step 8	

STEP 5 – Continue to promote good opportunity for all people	
Promote and implement as exemplar policy/practice	
Go to Step 8	

STEP 6 – Involve and consult stakeholders to address any negative impacts?	
EDU will assist with this process. Provide brief details of involvement and consultations:	
Go to Step 7	

STEP 7 – Outline any changes made to the policy/practice as a result of the consultation	
Provide details of changes:	
Go to Step 8	

STEP 8 – Publish results (as required by law)

Return this form, once completed, along with copy of amended policy or practice and any relevant information, to the EDU for annual reporting and for inclusion on the University website.

Please note items sent to EDU here:

The updated and approved Research Misconduct Policy

Go to Step 9

STEP 9 – Regular review

Regular reviews ensure that policy and practice is kept up to date and meets the requirements of current equality legislation. Where a negative impact has been identified and remedial actions are being implemented, the policy owner should define a timescale for review.

Please give details of review process:

SIGN OFF PROCESS		
Name of EIA Owner	Amanda McKenna	
Signature	Amanda Mekenna	
College/School/RI/Service	Research Services Directorate	
Date of Completion	20 July 2023	
Date received by EDU		
Approved in Principle?	YES NO	
Any actions required? Please specify		
Signed on behalf of EDU	Mhairi Taylor	
Date	20/12/2023	